SINGLE BENCH

(5)

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 1351 OF 2007

PETITIONER

Smt. Lalita Devi, aged about 30 years, W/o Shri Gangaram Mandavi, Anganbadi Worker, Ekikrit Mahila Bal Vikas Seva Pariyojana Canth - Gram Sakha, Distt. Rajnandgaon. (Chhattisgarh)

P.A. No. 1529/07 Smt. Lalita Gihu 22/3/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh Through Collector (Mahila) District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
2. Distt. Mahila and Balvikas Adhikari, Distt. Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
3. Project Officer, Mahila and Balvikas Seva Pariyojana, Tehsil Block - Chuikhadan, Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G)
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Chuikhadan, District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED COPY 22/2/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 1073/96 21/04 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

(51)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PE TITION No. 1351 of 2007.

Petitioner : Smt. Lalita Devi

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & Others.

Present : Smt. Smita Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner.
Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents 1 to 3.

ORDER
(Passed on 9th of March, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for petitioner, on direction, submits that the petitioner does not wants to press any other ground at this stage except that the petitioner be permitted to make a representation before the competent authority and the competent authority be directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law, within a stipulated period of time. It is ordered accordingly.

2. However, if a representation is made by the petitioner, the same shall be decided by the competent authority within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of representation, in accordance with law as per Angan Badi scheme.

3. This writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit